

# Solving Diophantine Equations by Factoring in Number Fields

Pezlar Z., J. ASB Soc., 2021, 2(1), 29-34  
DOI:10.51337/JASB20211227004

## Supporting information

### Applications of quadratic residues

Recall a non-zero element  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  has an inverse, reason being the set  $\{a, 2a, \dots, (p-1)a\}$  reduced modulo  $p$  is the set of non-zero residues modulo  $p$ , since  $ka \equiv \ell a \pmod{p}$  implies  $(k-\ell)a$  is zero in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , hence  $k = \ell$ . In particular, there exists an element  $\frac{1}{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  such that  $\frac{1}{a} \cdot a = 1$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ .

**Example 1.** Let  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  be a prime and  $a, b$  integers, such that  $p \mid a^2 + b^2$ . Show that  $p \mid a$  and  $p \mid b$ .

*Proof.* Assuming  $p$  doesn't divide  $a$  or  $b$ , it doesn't divide either. We are given  $a^2 \equiv -b^2 \pmod{p}$  and  $p \nmid b$ , so multiplying the congruence by  $\frac{1}{b^2}$ :

$$\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}.$$

It follows that  $-1$  is a quadratic residue modulo  $p$ . Thus, for  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  we have  $1 = \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) \equiv (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \pmod{p}$ , whereas the last expression is equal to  $-1$ , a contradiction. We conclude  $p \mid a$  and  $p \mid b$ .  $\square$

**Example 2.** Solve the equation  $x^2 + 4 = y^5$  in integers.

*Proof.* We are looking for a solution using quadratic residues. With that in mind, notice  $5 = \frac{11-1}{2}$ , i.e.  $y^5 \equiv \left(\frac{y}{11}\right) \in \{\pm 1, 0\} \pmod{11}$ . For each of these values of  $y^5$  we calculate  $x^2 \in \{6, 7, 8\} \pmod{11}$ .

Now we show the equation has no integer solutions. It suffices to show none of the three congruences  $x^2 \equiv 6, 7, 8 \pmod{11}$  has a solution. This a computation:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{6}{11}\right) &\equiv 6^5 \equiv -1 \pmod{11}, \\ \left(\frac{7}{11}\right) &\equiv 7^5 \equiv -1 \pmod{11}, \\ \left(\frac{8}{11}\right) &\equiv 8^5 \equiv -1 \pmod{11}, \end{aligned}$$

so the equation has no solutions. □

We now leave a problem for the reader to try out on their own.

**Problem 1.** Solve the equation  $x^2 + 2 = y^9$  in integers.

## Diophantine equations solved via algebraic number theory

Let's look back at Example no. 2., but this time, we will be factoring over the ring of Gaussian integers  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ . We will then expand this method further in Problem 3.

**Problem 2.** Solve the following equation over the integers:

$$x^2 + 4 = y^5. \quad (\spadesuit)$$

*Proof.* Assume  $(x, y)$  satisfies the above equation. Firstly, we see  $x, y$  have the same parity. If  $x, y$  had a common prime divisor  $p$ , the equation would imply  $p^2 \mid 4$ , hence  $p = 2$ . Then  $x = 2x_1$  and  $y = 2y_1$  for integers  $x_1, y_1$  and so:

$$x_1^2 + 1 = 8y_1^5,$$

which implies  $x_1^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$ , a contradiction, since  $-1$  is a quadratic non-residue modulo 4. The numbers  $x, y$  and therefore coprime, which we will use to prove certain ideals are coprime too.

Now we factor the left hand side of  $(\spadesuit)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  as follows:

$$(x + 2i)(x - 2i) = y^5.$$

This, in particular, means the ideals generated by both sides are equal. Theorem ?? then states:

$$(x + 2i)(x - 2i) = ((x + 2i)(x - 2i)) = (y^5) = (y)^5,$$

as an equality of ideals. Now we would like to show the ideals generated by  $x + 2i$  and  $x - 2i$  are coprime. Assume, on the contrary, a prime ideal  $\mathcal{P}$  dividing both  $(x + 2i)$  and  $(x - 2i)$  exists. Theorems ??, ?? and the multiplicativity of norms imply:

$$\mathcal{P} \mid (4i) \Rightarrow N(\mathcal{P}) \mid N((4i)) = |N(4i)| = 16.$$

A prime  $p \in \mathcal{P}$  satisfies  $p^j = N(\mathcal{P}) \mid 16$  for some  $j$ , so  $p = 2$ . On the other hand,  $\mathcal{P}$  divides  $(x + 2i)$ , and so  $2 \mid N(\mathcal{P}) \mid N((x + 2i)) = x^2 + 4$ . This shows  $x$  is even, a contradiction.

The product of coprime ideals  $(x + 2i), (x - 2i)$  is a fifth power of the ideal generated by  $y$ , therefore theorem ?? says both of them are fifth powers. We arrive at the existence of an ideal  $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$  such that  $(x + 2i) = \mathcal{J}^5$ .

The command:

```
NumberFieldClassNumber[Sqrt[-1]]
```

in Wolfram Mathematica gives  $h_{\mathbb{Q}(i)} = 1$ , so  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  has unique factorisation into irreducible elements. Any ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  is then principal, or  $\mathcal{I}$  is generated by some Gaussian integer  $a + bi$ :

$$(x + 2i) = \mathcal{I}^5 = (a + bi)^5 = ((a + bi)^5),$$

which is once again a consequence of ???. The ring  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  is an integral domain, theorem ??? therefore says the quotient of  $x + 2i$  and  $(a + bi)^5$  is a unit in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ .

Units  $a + bi \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$  are Gaussian integers with:

$$\pm 1 = N(a + bi) = a^2 + b^2,$$

so  $(a, b) \in \{(\pm 1, 0), (0, \pm 1)\}$  and the units are only the following:  $1, -1, i, -i$ . Hence:

$$x + 2i = u(a + bi)^5$$

for some  $u \in \{\pm 1, \pm i\}$ . We could, without a doubt, go through all four units and find integers solutions, however, we can reduce our workload substantially. Notice any solution  $(a, b)$  for  $x$  and  $u = -1, i, -i$  gives a solution for  $u = 1$  with the pairs  $(-a, -b), (-b, a)$  and  $(b, -a)$  respectively. With no generality lost, we may assume  $u = 1$ , since every integer  $x$  solving the original equation gives rise to a solution  $(a, b)$ .

Then:

$$x + 2i = (a + bi)^5 = a^5 - 10a^3b^2 + 5ab^4 + i(5a^4b - 10a^2b^3 + b^5).$$

Numbers  $1$  and  $i$  are linearly independent over the rationals, even over  $\mathbb{R}$ , so the real and imaginary parts of both sides, respectively, must be equal. The imaginary part gives us:

$$2 = 5a^4b - 10a^2b^3 + b^5 = b(5a^4 - 10a^2b^2 + b^4).$$

We have  $b \mid 2$ , or  $b \in \{\pm 1, \pm 2\}$ . Any of these cases results in a quadratic equation in  $a^2$  with no integral solutions for  $a$ .

No  $x$  such that  $x + 2i$  is fifth power of a Gaussian integers exists, so the equation ( $\spadesuit$ ) has no integral solutions. ■

We have seen how to prove a equation has no integral solutions and how to work in the ring  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{d}]$ , although if the equation had solutions, the last step would simply cough up solutions for  $a, b$  and, in turn, for  $x$  and  $y$ . Now, we will put the ideal class group to work:

**Problem 3.** Find all integers  $x, y$  satisfying:

$$x^2 + 13 = y^3. \tag{\clubsuit}$$

*Proof.* Let  $(x, y)$  be a solution. We see  $x, y$  are coprime and of a different parity. If  $y$  were even,  $x^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$  would hold, which is nonsense. We are then left with  $x$  being even and  $y$  odd. Factoring in  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-13}]$  gives:

$$(x + \sqrt{-13})(x - \sqrt{-13}) = y^3,$$

which can be, due to theorem ??, expressed as an equality of ideals in  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-13}]$ :

$$(x + \sqrt{-13})(x - \sqrt{-13}) = (y)^3.$$

The product of the ideals on the left hand side is a third power. We want to once again show these ideals are coprime. Rather, assume a prime ideal  $\mathcal{P}$  divides both. The norm being multiplicative and theorem ?? give:

$$\mathcal{P} \mid (x \pm \sqrt{-13}) \Rightarrow N(\mathcal{P}) \mid x^2 + 13 = y^3.$$

In spite of that, theorem ?? states:

$$\mathcal{P} \mid (2\sqrt{-13}) \Rightarrow N(\mathcal{P}) \mid N((2\sqrt{-13})) = 4 \cdot 13.$$

We know  $y$  is odd a if 13 were to divide  $y$ , then  $x$  would be divisible by 13 as well, a contradiction. The ideals  $(x \pm \sqrt{-13})$  are coprime then, so their product is a third power. This means the existence of an ideal  $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-13}]$  such that  $\mathcal{J}^3$  is equal to the ideal  $(x + \sqrt{-13})$ , in particular a principal ideal.

This is the part where the ideal class group shows up. The command:

```
NumberFieldClassNumber[Sqrt[-13]]
```

reveals the class group of  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-13}]$  as having two elements, the ring does not have unique factorisation.

From theorem ?? a square of any ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-13}]$  is principal. Since 3 is relatively prime to 2 and the product of principal ideals in principal, the ideal  $\mathcal{J}$  is a principal ideal generated by a single element of  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-13}]$ , which is  $a + b\sqrt{-13}$  for some integers  $a, b$ . Once again, theorem ?? states:

$$(x + \sqrt{-13}) = (a + b\sqrt{-13})^3 = ((a + b\sqrt{-13})^3),$$

as an equality of principal ideals in our ring. Theorem ?? states the quotient of  $x + \sqrt{-13}$  and  $(a + b\sqrt{-13})^3$  is a unit in  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-13}]$ , in other words  $\pm 1$ . Furthermore, a suitable triple  $(x, a, b)$  with the unit being  $-1$  gives a triple  $(x, -a, -b)$  for the unit being 1. We can without loss of generality assume:

$$x + \sqrt{-13} = (a + b\sqrt{-13})^3. \quad (\diamond)$$

Numbers 1 and  $\sqrt{-13}$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\mathbb{R}$ , so the real and imaginary parts are equal. Comparing coefficients of  $\sqrt{-13}$  bears fruits:

$$b(3a^2 - 13b^2) = 1,$$

since this implies  $b \mid 1$  and so  $b \in \{\pm 1\}$ . We can then easily find all solutions  $(a, b) = (\pm 2, -1)$ , and the rational part gives of  $(\diamond)$  gives  $x \in \{\pm 70\}$ . The original equation then gives all possible solutions  $(\pm 70, 17)$ . Any other  $x$  can not satisfy our equation, so we are done. ■

We solved the two equations by factoring in rings of the type  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{d}]$ , however working in rings  $\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1+\sqrt{d}}{2}\right]$  is not too different from the methods we have shown here and all important differences have been mentioned. The reader can try solving the following equation by factoring in such a ring:

**Problem 4.** Solve the following equation in integers:

$$x^2 + 11 = y^3,$$

if it is given that the ring  $\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1+\sqrt{-11}}{2}\right]$  is a Dedekind domain.

I wish you a pleasant solving experience!